arXiv:1604.00554v1 [cs.DC] 2 Apr 2016

Scalability Model Based on the Concept of Granularity

Jan Kwiatkowski[1] and Łukasz P. Olech[2]

[1] Department of Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science and Management, Wroclaw University of Technology, Wybrzeze Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland
jan.kwiatkowski@pwr.edu.pl

[2] Department of Artificial Inteligence, Faculty of Computer Science and Management, Wroclaw University of Technology, Wybrzeze Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland
lukasz.olech@pwr.edu.pl

Abstract. In the recent years it can be observed increasing popularity of parallel processing using multi-core processors, local clusters, GPU and others. Moreover, currently one of the main requirements the IT users is the reduction of maintaining cost of the computer infrastructure. It causes that the performance evaluation of the parallel applications becomes one of the most important problem. Then obtained results allows efficient use of available resources. In traditional methods of performance evaluation the results are based on wall-clock time measurements. This approach requires consecutive application executions and includes a time-consuming data analysis. In the paper an alternative approach is proposed. The decomposition of parallel application execution time onto computation time and overheads related to parallel execution is use to calculate the granularity of application and then determine its efficiency. Finally the application scalability can be evaluates.

Keywords: parallel processing, scalability of parallel application, granularity concept

1 Introduction

In the recent years there has been rapid development of new technologies related to the evolution of the technical possibilities offered by computer hardware - increasing calculation speed, decreasing communication time, increasing bandwidth communications, etc. Moreover it can be observed increasing popularity of parallel processing by using multi-core processors, clusters, GPU and others. Equally important as the evolution of the information systems are changes of the requirements of the IT users. Increasingly, the basic requirement of the IT users are not systems, offering improved processing speed, but ones that will reduce the cost of maintaining infrastructure. It causes that performance evaluation constitutes an intrinsic part of every application development process. In

parallel programming the goal of the design process is not to optimise a single metrics, a good design has to take into consideration memory requirements, communication cost, efficiency, implementation cost, and others. Therefore performance evaluation of parallel programs is very important for the development of efficient parallel applications.

In the paper [1] three categories of performance metrics have been proposed. The first are speedup metrics that show how faster results can be obtain when using some number of processing units comparing with using only one processing unit. The second one are efficiency metrics that determine the percentage of CPU utilization during parallel program execution. And finally scalability, which say how application behaves when increasing the number of available processing units and/or the size of the problem being solved. In the paper all of these metrics will be used for performance evaluation of parallel application.

In general the performance analysis can be carried out analytically or through experiments. The paper focusses on the second approach. Independently on the used measurement method during experimental performance evaluation of parallel programs is the need to measure the run time of sequential and parallel programs, which is time consuming. In the paper the method, which overcomes above problem is proposed. Basing on the concept of granularity and decomposition of the parallel application execution time onto the computation time and the overhead time presented in [2,3] we show that by measurement only wall-clock time and computation time it is possible to evaluate the performance of parallel programs. The paper extends previous one by presentations results of experiments performed up to 4096 processing units (cores) and by scalability analysis.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 briefly describes different performance metrics and two main approaches to scalability analysis - strong and weak scalability. How granularity can be used in performance evaluation is presented in section 3. The next section illustrates the experimental results obtained during evaluation of two parallel algorithms, strong and weak scalability are considered. Finally, section 5 outlines the work and discusses ongoing work.

2 Performance Metrics and scalability analysis

During performance evaluation of parallel applications different metrics are used [4]. The first one is the parallel run time ($t_{runtime}$). It is the time from the moment when computation starts to the moment when the last processor finishes its execution and is composed of three different times: computation time (t_{comp}) is the time spent on performing computation by all processors, communication time (t_{comm}) is the time spent on sending and receiving messages by all processors and idle time (t_{idle}) is when processors stay idle. The next commonly used metric is speedup, which captures the relative benefit of solving a given problem using a parallel system. There exist different speedup definitions. Generally the speedup (S) is defined as the ratio of the time needed to solve the problem on a single processor to the time required to solve the same problem on a parallel

system with p processors. Theoretically, speedup cannot exceed the number of processors used during program execution, however, different speedup anomalies can be observed [5]. Both above mentioned performance metrics do not take into account the utilisation of processors in the parallel system. While executing a parallel algorithm processors spend some time on communicating and some processors can be idle. Then the efficiency (E) of a parallel program is defined as a ratio of speedup to the number of used processors. In the ideal parallel system the efficiency is equal to one but in practice efficiency is between zero and one, however because of different speedup anomalies, it can be even greater than one.

The last performance metrics is scalability of the parallel system. It can be considered in different ways, we can use it for hardware, algorithms, data bases, execution environment, etc. One can say that currently it is one of the most important performance metrics. In general it can be say that it is a metrics, which consider the "system" capacity to increase speedup in proportion to the number of available processors. There are a lot of approaches to modelling the scalability, for example by using so called isoefficiency analysis [4], Universal Scalability Model proposed by the Neil Gunther [6], H-isoefficiency function [7] and others.

One can find two different approaches to way in which scalability is defined [8]. The first one based on Amdahl law (1) is called strong scalability. The strong scalability is also called scalability with a fixed size of the problem, it means that our goal is to minimize the program execution time by using more processing units. It means that we can say that system is scalable when increasing number of processing units are used effectively. For example, when the number of processing units equals 8 and the speedup received equals 8, too, then we have excellent scalability. This approach is the pessimist because of indicates a bounded speedup.

$$\mathcal{S}peedup(n) = \frac{T(1)}{T(n)} = \frac{1}{(1-p) + \frac{p}{n}} \tag{1}$$

where n denotes the number of processing units, p denotes the non-scaled fraction of the application parallel part and $T(1)$, $T(n)$ execution time at 1 and n processors respectively.

The second one is weak scalability that based on Gustafson law (2). The week scalability is also called the scalability with variable problem size, when the problem size increased at the time when the number of processing units increased (the input is fixed for each processor). We say that a system is scalable when the efficiency (execution time)is the same for increasing the number of processors and the size of the problem [4]. This approach is the optimistic because of indicates an unlimited speedup.

$$\mathcal{S}peedup(n) = \frac{T(1)}{T(n)} = 1 + (n-1) * p^* \tag{2}$$

where n denotes number processing units, p^* denotes the scaled fraction of the application parallel part and $T(1)$, $T(n)$ execution time at 1 and n processors respectively.

3 Using Granularity for Performance Analysis

In general the granularity of a parallel computer is defined as a ratio of the time required for a basic communication operation to the time required for a basic computation operation. Let's define the granularity of the parallel algorithm similarly as the ratio of the amount of computation to the amount of communication within a parallel algorithm execution ($G = T_{comp}/T_{comm}$). Above definition can be used for calculating the granularity of a single process executed during program execution on each processor as well as for the whole program by using total communication and computation times of all program processes. Then let's use the overhead function, which is a function of problem size and the number of processors and is defined as follows [4]:

$$\mathcal{T}_o(W,p) = p * T_p - W \tag{3}$$

where W denotes the problem size, T_p denotes time of parallel program execution and p is the number of used processors.

The problem size can be defined as the number of basic computation operations required to solve the problem using the best serial algorithm. Let us assume that a basic computation operation takes one unit of time. Thus the problem size is equal to the time of performing the best serial algorithm on a serial computer. Based on the above assumptions after rewriting the equation (3) we obtain the following expression for parallel run time:

$$\mathcal{T}_p = \frac{W + T_o(W,p)}{p} \tag{4}$$

Recalling that the parallel run time consists of computation time, communication time and idle time, let's assume that the main overhead of parallel program execution is communication time. The total communication time is equal to the sum of the communication time of all performed communication steps. Assuming that the distribution of data among processors is equal then the communication time can be calculated using equation $T_{total_comm} = p * T_{comm}$. Note that the above is true when the distribution of work between processors and their performance is equal. Similarly, the computation time is the sum of the time spent by all processors performing computation. Then the problem size W is equal to $p * T_{comp}$. Therefore the expression for the efficiency takes the form:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{T_{comm}}{T_{comp}}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{G}} = \frac{G}{G+1} \tag{5}$$

It means that using the concept of granularity we can calculate the efficiency and speedup of parallel algorithms. Concluding above consideration it is possible to evaluate a parallel application using such metrics as efficiency, speedup

and scalability by measuring only the computation and wall-clock times during execution of parallel version of a program on a parallel computer. Deeper presentation of the above discussion can be find in [2].

4 Case studies

To confirm the usefulness of the theoretical analysis presented in the previous sections the series of experiments were performed. During the experiments two different algorithms were used: K-means and Monte Carlo method (calculation of Pi number). The tests were executed on the BEM cluster at Wroclaw Centre for Networking and Supercomputing (720 homogeneous nodes (2 procesors) Intel Xeon E5 2670 v3). For both algorithms the strong scalability was checked and weak scalability was check only for K-means algorithm.

To avoid the execution time anomalies [2] the experiments were performed for data sizes sufficiently larger than CPU cache size and smaller than the main memory limits for strong scalability analysis and for weak scalability analysis the problem size increased proportionally to the number of used processors. Because the experiments were performed in a multi-user environment the execution times depended on computer load, therefore the presented results are the averages from the series of 10 identical experiments performed. Moreover the results of measurement lying in the distance above 1.5 interquartile range of the whole series were treated as erroneous and omitted, and the measurement was repeated. To evaluate the accuracy of the new method the relative error defined as $\frac{\mathcal{S}-S}{S}$ where S is the actual speedup and $\mathcal{S}$ is the estimated one has been used. Moreover because of way in which different times have been measured for speedup calculation instead of granularity isogranularity defined as $(G_{iso} = t_{comp}/t_{overhead})$ was used.

K-means is one of the algorithms that is used for solving the clustering problem [9]. It classifies a given data set into defined fixed number of clusters k (predefined). In the first algorithm's step so called centroids for each cluster should be chosen - one for each cluster. These centroids can be defined in random way however the better choice is to place them as much as possible far away from each other. In the next step all points from the data set are assign to the nearest centroid. After completion of this step the new centroids for each cluster are calculated using the means metrics for the created clusters. Then we repeated the second step using these new centroids. The process is continue as long as the differences between coordinates of new and old centroids are satisfied. Alternatively the process can be finished after predefined number of iterations.

The above algorithm was parallelized in the following simple way. The chosen processor reads input data, and then distributes them to other processors. Each processor received N/p data, when p is a number of available processors and N is the number of input data. Then each processor generates the appropriate number of centroids and exchanges information about them with other processors. After completion of above step each processor has information about all the centroids and performs the second step of the sequential algorithm. In the next

step each processor calculates the data necessary to calculate new centroids (the number of point in each cluster and sums of points coordinates) and exchange this information with other processors. Then the new centroids are calculated, in parallel by all processors (execution replication), and again the process returns to the second step of sequential algorithm. The algorithm ends when the stop criterion is met. Then the chosen processor collects clustering results from other processors and merge them. Description of Monte Carlo method is skiped because of the common knowledge about it and the lack of space.

Below the results of experiments performed to check the strong scalability of k-means algorithm and Monte Carlo methods are presented. In the experiments performed for K-means algorithm different data set sizes were used. The number of generated clusters was 1024 during all tests. Moreover different hardware configurations by means different number of cores from each processor were used. Received results are presented on figures 1, 2, 3, 4.



Fig. 1. K-means algorithm speedup and estimated speedup - 2 cores at each node

The first test was performed using 2 cores from 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 and 64 processors, its results are presented on figure 1. As can be seen the actual speedup and estimated speedup are very close, however when the size of data set is equal 245760 there are large differences between actual and estimated speedup and the precise relative error is even over 16% when using 128 cores, for other cases is less than 5%.

In the second test 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128 and 512 processing units (cores), four from each processor were used. Results of this test are presented on figure 2. As previously can be seen that the actual speedup and estimated speedup are very close. In general the precise relative error was less then 2%, however for problem size 1966080 was slightly larger when using 512 cores.

In the third test 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512 and 2048 processing units (cores), eight from each processor were used. Results of this test are presented on figure 3. In this test results are really satisfied, the precise relative error were between 1% and 2%.



Fig. 2. K-means algorithm speedup and estimated speedup - 4 cores at each node



Fig. 3. K-means algorithm speedup and estimated speedup - 8 cores at each node



Fig. 4. K-means algorithm speedup and estimated speedup - 16 cores at each node

In the last test performed for K-means algorithm 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024 and 4096 processing units (cores), sixteen from each processor were used. Results of this test are presented on figure 4. As during the previous tests the

results were very good, the precise relative error values were between 0,2% and 6%, only for problem size equals 7864320 for 4096 processing unites was larger, close to 15%.

In the experiments performed for Monte Carlo method different data set sizes were used. Moreover different hardware configurations by means different number of cores from each processor were used. Received results are presented on figures 5, 6.



Fig. 5. Monte Carlo algorithm speedup and estimated speedup - 8 cores at each node



Fig. 6. Monte Carlo algorithm speedup and estimated speedup - 16 cores at each node

Results obtained for Monte Carlo methods similarly as for k-means algorithm are very promising, the shape of diagrams are very close and the precise relative error was not larger than 5% in all cases. Considering the strong scalability we can conclude that results of experiments show that both algorithms are scalable in the limits of defined by the limits of performed tests.

4.1 Experimental results - weak scalability

During test related to cheking weak scalability for k-means algorithm 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, and 512 processing units (cores) randomly chosen have been used. Problem sizes were from 122880 to 31457280 to satisfy requirements that during program execution each processing unit should used the same amount of data. Typically weak scalability is presented as a diagram using scaling efficiency. In the paper we present it in different way proposed in the paper [10] by presenting execution time and speedup in the tables (Table 1, Table 2).

Table 1. Execution time of parallel k-means algorithm

Problem size	T_1	T_8	T_{16}	T_{32}	T_{64}	T_{128}	T_{256}	T_{512}
122880	2,918	0,364	0,182	0,091	0,046	0,023	0,0064	0,0047
983040	178,132	22,240	11,120	5,659	2,779	1,388	0,698	0,345
1966080	708,634	88,522	44,262	23,137	11,063	5,5308	2,554	1,385
3932160	2831,905	318,146	176,702	88,353	44,175	22,079	10,240	5,517
7864320	11337,77	1416,032	812,677	353,901	176,960	88,462	38,918	21,949
15728640	45318,33	5660,593	2830,199	1624,234	707,452	353,600	176,745	88,342
31457280	181417,6	22653,32	11325,1	5661,966	3249,23	1414,826	706,802	353,248

Table 2. Speedup of parallel k-means algorithm based on Gustafson's model

Problem size	S_8	S_{16}	S_{32}	S_{64}	S_{128}	S_{256}	S_{512}
122880	7,946	15,744	31,297	61,302	117,931	70,688	0,0047
983040	7,948	15,822	31,431	62,546	122,945	240,221	408,803
1966080	7,972	15,868	31,232	62,909	125,105	81,220	483,412
3932160	7,958	15,843	31,630	63,024	125,784	100,921	495,631
7864320	7,982	15,837	31,672	62,941	125,793	118,578	475,919
15728640	7,913	15,808	31,536	63,008	125,728	250,092	498,543
31457280	7,930	15,883	31,582	55,060	125,540	125,300	499,833

From the Table 1, we can observe that for a problem size 983040 the run time on 8 procesors equeals 22,24 sec., then when 32 procesors are used and problem size is increased to 1966080, the run time is very close 23,13 sec. Similarly for 128 processors and problem size equeals 3932160 the run time is 22,07 sec. Therefore we can conclude that the speedup is scaling from 7,94 to 125,78 for workload from 983040 to 3932160 when 128 instead 8 processors are available.

5 Conclusions and future work

In the paper the new way of scalability evaluation of parallel application is proposed. Utilizing the separate measurements of wall-clock time and CPU time,

it offers the possibility to estimate the application speedup and efficiency using only the measurement for a single, parallel execution. For the method to be successful it requires only the readily available data, without the need of installation of additional software or application modifications. The experiments performed proved that the estimation accuracy is sensitive to the simplifying assumption taken. For all analysed algorithms the results obtained are similar: the shape of diagrams is similar and the value of speedup is close. In the future works a broader class of algorithms will be taken into consideration, as well as improving the way of weak scalability evaluation will be considered.

Acknowledgments

Calculations have been carried out using resources providing by Wroclaw Centre for Networking and Supercomputing (http//wcss.pl), grant No 266.

References

1. Jogalekar P., Woodside M.: Evaluating the Scalability of Distributed Systems, IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 11, NO. 6, June 2000, pp.589-603 (2000)
2. Kwiatkowski J.: Parallel applications performance evaluation using the concept of granularity, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, ISSN 0302-9743; vol. 8385, pp. 215-224 (2014)
3. Kwiatkowski J., Pawlik M., Konieczny D.: Comparison of execution time decomposition methods for performance evaluation, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. vol. 4967, pp. 1160-1169 (2007)
4. Grama A.Y., Gupta A., Kumar V.: Isoefficiency: Measuring the Scalability of Parallel Algorithms and Architectures, IEEE Parallel & Distributed Technology, pp. 12-21 (1993)
5. Kwiatkowski J., Pawlik M., Konieczny D.: Parallel Program Execution Anomalies, Proc. of First International Multiconference on Computer Science and Information, Wisla, Poland, (2006)
6. N. J. Gunther N.J., P. Puglia P., K. Tomasette K.: Hadoop Superlinear Scalability: The perpetual motion of parallel performance, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 58 No. 4, pp. 46-55, (2015)
7. Bosque J.L., Robles O.D., Toharia P. and Pastor L.: H-Isoefficiency: Scalability Metric for Heterogeneous Systems, Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computational and Mathematical Methods in Science and Engineering, CMMSE 2010, pp. 240-250 (2010)
8. Shoukourian H., Wilde1 T., Auweter A., Bode A.: Predicting the Energy and Power Consumption of Strong and Weak Scaling HPC Applications, Supercomputing Frontiers and Innovations, vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 20-41 (2014)
9. MacQueen, J. B.: Some methods for classifcation and analysis of multivariate observations, Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium on Math, Statistics, and Probability, Berkeley, CA: University, pp. 281-297 of California Press
10. Kartawidjaja M.A.: Analyzing Scalability of Parallel Matrix Multiplication Using Dusd, Asian Journal of Information Technology, ISSN 1682-3915, vol. 9, Issue 2, pp. 78-84 (2010)